Received 25 July 2006

Accepted 30 August 2006

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Gregory R. Schmidt, Jacqueline Reynard, Hexiong Yang* and Robert T. Downs

University of Arizona, Department of Geosciences, 1040 E. 4th Street, Tucson, AZ 85721-0077, USA

Correspondence e-mail: hyang@geo.arizona.edu

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 298 KMean σ (S–O) = 0.001 Å R factor = 0.023 wR factor = 0.076 Data-to-parameter ratio = 23.8

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

Tychite, Na₆Mg₂(SO₄)(CO₃)₄: structure analysis and Raman spectroscopic data

Tychite, hexasodium dimagnesium sulfate tetracarbonate, is a member of the northupite $[Na_3Mg(CO_3)_2Cl]$ group and has a framework structure consisting of four basic units: $CO_3^{2^-}$ groups (3 symmetry), $SO_4^{2^-}$ tetrahedra (23 symmetry), MgO_6 ($\overline{3}$ symmetry) and NaO_6 octahedra (2 symmetry). Among them, the $CO_3^{2^-}$, $SO_4^{2^-}$, and MgO_6 units are regular in shape, but the NaO₆ octahedron, showing three different Na-O bond lengths, is considerably distorted. The Na atoms in tychite are slightly overbonded relative to those in northupite. The Raman spectroscopic data show three and four vibration modes for the $CO_3^{2^-}$ and $SO_4^{2^-}$ groups, respectively; these are comparable to the corresponding Raman modes observed in other carbonates and sulfates.

Comment

Tychite is a sodium-magnesium sulfate-carbonate mineral with the ideal chemical formula Na₆Mg₂(SO₄)(CO₃)₄ and occurs uncommonly in lake-bed evaporite deposits in nature (Anthony *et al.*, 1990). It forms a solid solution series with ferrotychite, Na₆Fe₂(SO₄)(CO₃)₄ and manganotychite, Na₆Mn₂(SO₄)(CO₃)₄ (Khomyakov *et al.*, 1990) and is a member of the northupite [Na₃Mg(CO₃)₂Cl] group of minerals. The crystal structure of tychite was first proposed by Shiba & Watanabé (1931) in space group $Fd\overline{3}m$ and with all atoms in special positions. In the same year, however, Gossner & Koch (1931) suggested space group $Fd\overline{3}$ for both tychite and northupite. Based on X-ray intensity data from powder



© 2006 International Union of Crystallography All rights reserved

Polyhedral view of the crystal structure of tychite.



Raman spectrum of tychite.

diffraction and by using the Laue method, Watanabé (1933) solved the structures of tychite and northupite in space group $Fd\overline{3}$. While there have been subsequent refinements of the structures of northupite (Dal Negro *et al.*, 1975) and of a ferrotychite crystal with the composition Na₆(Fe_{1.241}Mn_{0.358}Mg_{0.401})(SO₄)(CO₃)₄ (Malinovskii *et al.*, 1979), which both confirmed space group $Fd\overline{3}$, no further structure analysis has been performed on tychite.

Isotypic with ferrotychite (Malinovskii *et al.* 1979), the crystal structure of tychite consists of four different basic units: CO_3^{2-} groups, SO_4^{2-} tetrahedra, and MgO₆ and NaO₆ octahedra. The SO₄, MgO₆, and NaO₆ polyhedra share edges and corners, forming a three-dimensional framework, and the MgO₆ and NaO₆ octahedra are interlinked by the CO₃ groups (Fig. 1). All four types of bond distances (C–O, S–O, Mg–O, and Na–O) in tychite are consistent with the values reported in the literature. The principal difference between the structures of tychite and ferrotychite lies in the *M*–O bond lengths (*M* = Mg and Fe), resulting from the substitution of Mg for Fe (Table 1).

However, there is a notable difference in the octahedral configuration around Na between tychite and northupite. In tychite, the Na atom is bonded to six O atoms with three different lengths, whereas it is coordinated by four O atoms and two Cl atoms in northupite. Relative to the bond distances within the Na octahedron in tychite (Table 1), those in northupite are not only longer, but also rather varied, from the shortest Na–O distance of 2.411 Å to the longest Na–Cl distance of 2.873 Å. The Na octahedral volumes in tychite and northupite are 16.75 and 20.48 Å³, respectively, indicating that the Na atom in tychite is more strongly bonded than that in northupite. The bond-valence sum (Brown, 2002) for Na is 1.04 v.u. (valence units) in tychite, whereas it is 0.99 v.u. in northupite.

The Raman spectrum measured between 100 and 1300 cm^{-1} for tychite is shown in Fig. 2, and the band assignments for the different vibrational modes are given in Table 2. Note that all vibrational modes for SO_4^{2-} and CO_3^{2-} groups in tychite compare very well with those observed in other sulfates, *e.g.* gypsum (Knittle *et al.*, 2001) and carbonates, *e.g.* calcite (Gabrielli *et al.*, 2000), respectively.

Experimental

A crystal from Searles Lake, Trona, San Bernardino County, California, was used for the data collection. The Raman spectrum was measured from a randomly oriented sample with nine scans and 30 s measuring time on a Thermo Almega microRaman system, using a solid-state laser with a frequency of 532 nm. The laser was partially polarized and collects a high resolution spectrum with a minimum lateral resolution of less than 5 μ m.

Crystal data

 Na₆Mg₂(SO₄)(CO₃)₄
 $D_x = 2.583 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
 $M_r = 522.66$ Mo K α radiation

 Cubic, $Fd\overline{3}$ $\mu = 0.63 \text{ mm}^{-1}$

 a = 13.9038 (2) Å
 T = 298 (2) K

 V = 2687.82 (7) Å³
 Block, colourless

 Z = 8 0.10 × 0.10 × 0.08 mm

Data collection

Bruker SMART APEX-II CCD	
diffractometer	
φ and ω scans	
Absorption correction: multi-scan	
(SADABS; Sheldrick, 2005)	
$T_{\min} = 0.939, \ T_{\max} = 0.951$	

Refinement

 Refinement on F^2 $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0575P)^2]$
 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.023$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
 $wR(F^2) = 0.076$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} < 0.001$

 S = 1.01 $\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.41$ e Å⁻³

 570 reflections
 $\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.39$ e Å⁻³

 24 parameters
 Extinction correction: SHELXL97

 Extinction coefficient: none

12979 measured reflections 570 independent reflections

505 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

 $\begin{aligned} R_{\rm int} &= 0.034 \\ \theta_{\rm max} &= 36.6^\circ \end{aligned}$

Table 1

Comparison of bond distances (Å) between tychite (M = Mg; this work) and ferrotychite (M = Fe; Malinovskii *et al.*, 1979).

Bonds	Tychite	Ferrotychite
S-O (×4)	1.4782 (10)	1.483 (1)
$C-O(\times 3)$	1.2857 (5)	1.287 (1)
$Na - O1 (\times 2)$	2.4994 (5)	2.485 (1)
$Na-O2(\times 2)$	2.3915 (7)	2.388 (1)
$Na - O2(\times 2)$	2.4124 (5)	2.409 (1)
$M - O(\times 6)$	2.0804 (5)	2.132 (1)

Table 2

Raman peak assignments for selected vibrational modes observed in tychite.

Peak Positions (cm ⁻¹)	Mode Assignments	
144, 360	Lattice vibrations	
493.8	ν_2 SO ₄ symmetric bend	
629.7	v_4 SO ₄ antisymmetric bending	
708.7	v_4 CO ₃ in-plane bending	
859.2	ν_2 CO ₃ out-of-plane bending	
967.1	v_1 SO ₄ primary internal stretching mode	
1108.1	$v_1 \text{ CO}_3$ primary internal stretching mode	
1136.6	v_3 SO ₄ antisymmetric stretching	

For the present refinement, origin choice 2 of space group $Fd\overline{3}$ was used.

Data collection: *SMART* (Bruker, 2003); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2005); data reduction: *SAINT*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: Xtal-Draw (Downs & Hall-Wallace, 2003); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL* (Bruker, 1997).

The sample of tychite was donated to the RRUFF Project (http://rruff.geo.arizona.edu/rruff/) by Excalibur Mineral Company.

References

- Anthony, J. W., Bideaux, R. A., Bladh, K. W. & Nichols, M. C. (1990). Handbook of Mineralogy Vol. V: Borates, Carbonates, Sulfates, pp. 813 Tucson, Arizona: Mineral Data Publishing.
- Brown, I. D. (2002). The Chemical Bond in Inorganic Chemistry The Bond Valence Model. Oxford University Press.

- Bruker (1997). SHELXTL. Version 5.1. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Bruker (2003). SMART. Version 6.0. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Bruker (2005). SAINT. Version 6.0. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Dal Negro, A., Giuseppetti, G. & Tadini, C. (1975). Tschermaks Mineral. Petrogr. Mitt. 22, 158–163.
- Downs, R. T. & Hall-Wallace, M. (2003). Am. Mineral. 88, 274-250.
- Gabrielli, C., Jaouhari, S., Joiret, S. & Maurin, G. (2000). J. Raman Spectrosc. 31, 497–501.
- Gossner, B. & Koch, I. (1931). Z. Kristallogr. 80, 455-464.
- Khomyakov, A. P., Bakhchisaraitsev, A. Y., Martynova, A. V. & Parashchenko, T. M. (1990). Zap. Vses. Mineral. Ova. 119, 46–49. (In Russian.)
- Knittle, E., Phillips, W. & Williams, Q. (2001). Phys. Chem. Miner., 28, 630-640.
- Malinovskii, Yu. A., Baturin, S. V. & Belov, N. V. (1979). Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 249, 1365–1368. (In Russian.)
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXS97 and SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2005). SADABS. Version 2.10. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Shiba, H. & Watanabé, T. (1931). C. R. Hebd. Seances Acad. Sci. 193, 1421–1423. (In French.)
- Watanabé, T. (1933). Sci. Pap. Inst. Phys. Chem. Res. (Jpn), 21, 40-64.